



Karnataka ReddyJana Sangha[®]
VEMANA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

Approved by AICTE - New Delhi, Affiliated to VTU Belagavi & Recognized by Govt. of Karnataka
#1, Mahayogi Vemana Road, 3rd Block, Koramangala, Bengaluru - 560034.

www.vemanait.edu.in



Accredited by NBA

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS & COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Rubrics for Internship (18ECI85) AY 2023-24

Table 1: Consolidated Parameters for Evaluation of Internship

Assessment Parameter (AP)	Criteria	Assessment weightage (Marks)
AP1	Interaction with internal supervisor	10% (4 M)
AP2	Skills acquired, Presentation and viva-voce	60% (24M)
AP3	Internship work Report Evaluation	20% (8M)
AP4	Feedback from Industry	10% (4M)
Total Weightage (Marks)		100% (40M)

Table 2: AP2-Rubrics for Skills acquired, Presentation and Viva-voce

Total Marks- 24M

	EXCELLENT	GOOD	AVERAGE
Parameters/ Marks	4-5	2-3	1

Slides preparation, organization of content, Visual aids (5M)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All the information in the slides is arranged in a logical sequence. • Background, introduction and all the contents are relevant to the topic. • Conclusions are valid and relevant in the context of the topic. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Most of the information in the slides is arranged in a logical sequence. • Background, introduction and contents are relevant but not sufficient. • Conclusions are relevant to the context of the topic. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Few slides are not logically sequenced and lacks clear transitions. • Incomplete background and introduction. • Insufficient emphasis on conclusion.
	4-5	2-3	1
Presentation Consistency (5M)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Clearly audible to the entire audience. • Very good command of professional vocabulary and pronunciation. • Consistently maintained the high degree of grammatical accuracy. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Audible to most of the audience. • Good command of professional vocabulary and pronunciation. • Consistently maintained the grammatical accuracy to an acceptable degree with few errors. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Difficult to hear and follow the presentation • Adequate command on vocabulary and pronunciation • Maintained a reasonable degree of grammatical accuracy.
	8-10	5-7	1-5
Skills learned (10M)	Demonstrated the skill set relevant to domain more effectively.	Demonstrated the skill set relevant to domain moderately.	Insufficient knowledge in the learned skill set.
	4	3-2	1
Viva-voce (4M)	Answered all the queries.	Answered most of the queries.	Answered few queries

Table 3:AP3 - Rubrics for Internship Report Evaluation

Total Marks- 8M

Sl. No.	Parameter	Rubric Components	Mark Allocation
1	Contents (2-Marks)	Organizations of Contents	8: Excellent
2	Planning of Chapters (3-Marks)	Planning and write-up consistency	5-7: Good

3	Visual aids (2-Marks)	Text, images, graphs their originality	1-4: Average
4	Conclusion (1-Mark)	Conclusion along with future work and References	

Internship Co-Ordinators

Prof. Byra Reddy G R
Prof. Kusuma G S

HoD

Dr. Parameshwara M C