



Project Work Phase II Review and Evaluation Guidelines 2023-24
By
Student Project Committee.

- Project work Phase II review and evaluation shall be done twice during 8th semester term days.
- 1st review shall be scheduled during 1st/2nd week of March, and the 2nd review shall be scheduled during the 3rd/4th week of April 2024.
- The review committee shall be constituted by the Project coordinator in consultation with the HOD.
- The project review committee for each batch shall consist of One Senior faculty member/ project coordinator, a guide and two faculty members specialized in the Project work domain. The same committee shall evaluate the review for project phase 2.
- The Head of the Department shall monitor the review at their convenience.
- The Project work coordinator shall announce the schedule for evaluation well in advance to the students and staff.
- The rubrics for evaluation should be brought to the notice of the students and familiarize the content of the rubrics to all the students well in advance.
- The review and evaluation shall be through PPT presentation/ demonstration.
- Students are not allowed for presentation without prior approval of the respective guide.
-

Table 1: Rubrics for Project Review and Evaluation, Phase – 2.

	Agenda	Assessment	Weightage
Review 3 (Interim -2)	Mid-Term Project Evaluation	Rubric R3	30 M
Review 4 (Final)	Final Project Evaluation	Rubric R4	40 M
	Evaluation By Guide		30 M
Total Marks			100 M

**Table 2: Rubrics for Project Review and Evaluation, Phase -2
[Review -3, Total Marks: 30]**

Parameters	EXCELLENT	GOOD	AVERAGE	REMARKS
[Marks]	8-10	5-7	1-4	
Introduction [10M]	Detailed explanation.	Moderate explanation.	Brief explanation.	
	Background Societal Relevance Objectives	Background Objectives	Background /Objectives	
	4-5	2-3	1	
Literature [5M]	Summary of literature with good substantiation of the project Addition of recent publications	Summary of literature with moderate substantiation of the project.	Summary of literature with average substantiation of the project/ Insufficient.	
	11-15	6-10	1-5	
Methodology [15M]	Detailed explanation and implementation of the methodology adopted to achieve the objectives with relevant block diagrams or flow charts	Moderate explanation and implementation of the methodology adopted to achieve the objectives with relevant block diagrams or flow charts	Brief explanation and implementation of the methodology adopted to achieve the objectives with relevant block diagrams or flow charts	
	Modification/enhancement incorporated for equipment/tool/algorithm in the project work.	Implementing moderate modification in the project work.	Implementing the minor modifications in the project work.	
	The process incorporated is in line with objectives.	The process incorporated is in line with a few objectives.	The process adopted is insufficient to meet the objectives.	

Guidelines:

- Project work report shall be prepared and submitted as per the existing VTU guidelines.
- Complete in all respects within the date of submission.
- Literature /Reference shall be listed as:

Author name, “Title of the paper”, journal /conference name, vol. x, year, page no.

Table 3 : Rubrics for Project Review and Evaluation, Phase -2
[Review -4, Total Marks: 70]

Parameters	EXCELLENT	GOOD	AVERAGE	REMARKS
Marks	8-10	5-7	1-5	
Presentation [10M]	Relevant and good consistent.	Relevant and moderate consistent.	Relevant / insufficient partially consistent.	
	16-20	11-15	1-10	
Demo/ Results/ Conclusion & Discussion [20M]	Demonstration of complete working model/ System/ product. All objectives satisfied. Very good discussion on results obtained.	Demonstration of complete working model/ System/ product. 75-85% of the objectives are satisfied. Good discussion on results obtained.	Demonstration of complete working model/ System/ product. Few objectives are satisfied. Moderate discussion on results obtained.	
	4-5	2-3	1	
Q&A [5M]	Answered majority of the questions.	partial satisfaction of the questions answered.	Insufficient answer to questions.	
	5	3-4	1-2	
Outcome [5M]	Project Outcome for Funding Agencies/ product/ publications/ presentation in exhibition. Minor Modification in the methodology leading to enhanced outcome. Very good listing of future scope.	Applied/submitted to any conference/ Project presentation in exhibition. Modification in the methodology leading to good outcome. Good listing of future scope.	Only Report Satisfactory listing of future scope.	
	16-20	11-15	1-10	
Evaluation (By Guide) [20M]	Good participation and attitude/ interaction. Very good preparation of project report. Submission of report in time.	moderate participation and attitude/ interaction. Good preparation of project report. Late Submission of report by 2days.	Average participation and attitude/ interaction. Satisfactory preparation of project report. Late Submission of report by 4days.	
	9-10	7-8	1-6	
Attendance [10M]	91-100% attendance.	81-90% attendance.	75-80% attendance.	